

# International Conference on

## REVIEWERS RECOMMENDATIONS FORM

Please evaluate these articles using the following five evaluation criteria and your recommendation if any. **Final decision:** Accept as it is, accept with minor revision, accept with major revision or reject.

**1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very good, 5 = Excellent**

**Paper ID:** 18-06-17-001-T2FP

**Paper Title:** Recommended Weight Prediction System Based on BMI, BMR, Food Calorie and a Neural Network

1. <b>Originality: An innovative research technique?</b>		
Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
5	4	5

2. <b>Relevance: Does this article present relevant information?</b>		
Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
5	3	4

3. <b>Signification: Stimulate discussion of important issues or alternative viewpoint</b>		
Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
5	4	4

4. <b>Cohesive approach: A logical and clear thinking of the methods used by the authors?</b>		
Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
5	4	4

5. <b>Logical structure: Well organized and well written?</b>		
Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
4	4	4

6. <b>Has the author followed the submission instructions?</b>	
Reviewer 1	Yes he does
Reviewer 2	Yes he does
Reviewer 3	Yes he does

7. <b>Final decision</b>	
Reviewer 1	Accept as it is
Reviewer 2	Accept as it is

Reviewer 3	Accept as it is
------------	-----------------

<b>8. Instruction for minor revision</b>	
Reviewer 1	None
Reviewer 2	None
Reviewer 3	None

<b>9. Instruction for major revision</b>	
Reviewer 1	None
Reviewer 2	None
Reviewer 3	None

<b>10. Reviewer's comments to the authors: please highlight the strengths and weaknesses of the authors' article and justify your assessment.</b>	
Reviewer 1	<p>The method used by the author to describe the Weight Prediction System Based on BMI, BMR, Food Calorie is a very promising method that can be to implement human data to help solve some problem that our society is facing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In section V in order to generate a <i>seen dataset</i> for the BPNN, the author has used the following five numerical values (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, and 0.9) that are all uneven number. Is that any specific reason to use only the uneven data? Why not, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8?</li> <li>2. What do you mean by not low in linguistic terms used for describing the BPNN(Section V)</li> <li>3. If you change the 0.5 (not low) to medium what will happen to this category?</li> <li>4. In section VI the author wrote 'The proposed RWPS predicts the number of days for an over weighted person who desires to attain a normal BMI status by subtracting 500 calories from the DNC value and then multiplying it with 7. Why you choose the default 7days? What influence will be on one subject for example who is seek for days in a week and cannot eat, and that would like to use your data? In this case what factor should he or she uses the multiply his/her DNC?</li> </ol>
Reviewer 2	
Reviewer 3	An interesting technical paper to be discussed in the conference.

## Publication

### Applied Science and Computer Science Publications Award

<b>11. Do you recommend this article for publication into one of the Journals of Applied Science and Computer Publications?</b>		
Reviewer1	Reviewer2	Reviewer3
Yes I do	Yes I do	Yes I do